Open Journal of Robotics, Autonomous Decision-Making, and Human-Machine Interaction, pp. 53–63

V2X Communication Protocols and Their Role in Enhancing Big Data Throughput for Autonomous Fleets

Nguyen Van Thang[1]

1. *Hanoi Southern University, Department of Computer Science, Tran Phu, Dong Da District, Hanoi, Vietnam.*

Abstract
Energy-efficient communication is crucial for wireless sensor networks (WSNs) deployed in extreme environments, where unpredictable disturbances and resource constraints pose significant challenges. Optimizing data collection and transmission strategies in such conditions is essential to ensure long-term network operation and reliable data delivery. This paper proposes an innovative framework for adaptive data collection and transmission protocols designed to optimize energy usage in WSNs operating in harsh environmental conditions. The study develops a rigorous mathematical model that incorporates continuous time-space representations, stochastic differential equations, and variational optimization techniques to formulate energy-efficient and disturbance-resilient transmission schedules and data aggregation strategies. A system of coupled differential equations is derived to characterize sensor node energy depletion, nonlinear wireless signal propagation, and interference effects arising from environmental fluctuations. The proposed framework leverages iterative optimization methods, such as gradient descent and Newton-Raphson algorithms, to dynamically regulate transmission power and compression parameters in real time. Simulation results demonstrate that the adaptive protocols significantly enhance network longevity while preserving high data fidelity, outperforming traditional fixed-parameter strategies in severe operational scenarios. By integrating advanced theoretical principles with practical algorithmic solutions, this approach offers new perspectives on managing energy constraints in remote sensing applications. Furthermore, the incorporation of predictive time-series analysis strengthens the network's ability to anticipate energy depletion, ensuring sustained and reliable data transmission. This work establishes a robust foundation for the development of energy-aware communication systems, paving the way for scalable and resilient sensor network architectures in challenging environments.

Introduction
The rapid adoption of autonomous vehicles has catalyzed an unprecedented demand for reliable, high-throughput communication links among vehicles, infrastructures, pedestrians, and remote data centers [1]. This cluster of technologies, collectively known as V2X (vehicle-to-everything) communication, extends far beyond the traditional scope of telematics. It encompasses data interfaces for cloud services, edge computing frameworks, machine learning inference engines, traffic management systems, and safety-critical subsystems [2]. At a fundamental level, the efficacy of autonomous fleet operations hinges on two intertwined principles: the capacity to sense the surrounding environment with high fidelity and the ability to exchange vast amounts of data in near real time. Whereas sensors embedded within the vehicle produce a voluminous stream of raw data, the external connectivity to infrastructures and other vehicles refines local decisions, alleviates computational burdens, and continuously improves global traffic efficiency. The significance of these infrastructures grows when considering cooperative maneuvers, in which multiple vehicles coordinate speed and steering decisions to optimize overall traffic flow or reduce fuel consumption [3]. Such maneuvers typically require continuous data updates at intervals shorter than human reaction times, hence demanding both reliable links and advanced algorithms to minimize error rates and propagation delays.

Because autonomous systems rely on high-bandwidth sensors such as LiDAR, radar, high-definition cameras, and thermal imaging devices, the data streams generated can easily exceed several gigabits per second under peak load conditions [4]. Traditional short-range communications and ad-hoc networking solutions face limitations in scalability, latency, and bandwidth efficiency when confronted with dense urban settings populated by hundreds or thousands of autonomous vehicles. Furthermore, line-of-sight blockages from buildings, large trucks, or complex topographies can introduce significant signal attenuation or multipath fading. Modern cellular standards, including 5G and evolving 6G concepts, promise enormous throughput capabilities along with sub-millisecond latencies, though their performance in large-scale vehicular scenarios remains contingent on effective resource allocation, beamforming, and dynamic cell planning. [5]

From a data perspective, the challenges become more pronounced as fleets grow in size, forming complex networks of nodes that need to communicate dynamically with each other and with distributed computing resources. Instead of processing the entirety of sensor data locally, vehicles can offload computationally intensive tasks such as object recognition, path planning, and high-level inference to edge servers co-located with base stations [6]. As edge computing infrastructure grows in complexity, data from numerous vehicles is aggregated and analyzed, potentially providing globally optimal traffic coordination strategies. These tasks rely on robust V2X protocols to ensure that messages containing safety-critical alerts or high-priority sensor information receive guaranteed low-latency transmission. Moreover, such transmissions can be irregular, with abrupt bursts of data generated during complex driving episodes, inclement weather conditions, or rare safety-critical events [7]. Consequently, the network must be designed to handle sporadic traffic spikes while maintaining strict performance guarantees.

To structure a high-throughput, reliable communication backbone, researchers and engineers adopt layered approaches to model and optimize V2X traffic [8]. Physical-layer perspectives focus on multi-carrier modulation, MIMO channel modeling, and beam alignment, while MAC-layer designs address packet scheduling, collision avoidance, and power control. The network layer, in turn, deals with routing and resource allocation to handle the massive data demands of an autonomous fleet. Big data frameworks further add complexities related to storing, processing, and retrieving heterogeneous data in large volumes on remote servers [9]. The synergy between V2X protocols and big data analytical capabilities redefines how autonomous vehicles operate, making them safer and more responsive to changing road conditions. Enabling this synergy requires global standards that facilitate inter-manufacturer interoperability, allowing vehicles of different makes and models to exchange information seamlessly.

An essential consideration in this broader ecosystem involves identifying mathematical models that accurately capture the dynamics of moving nodes, time-varying channel conditions, and multi-vehicle interactions [10]. From the perspective of linear algebra, one might model the received signal as a product of matrix transformations, capturing multipath effects and beamforming strategies. Similarly, advanced statistical methods, including Markov decision processes and Poisson point processes, describe traffic flows while optimizing data routing in uncertain environments [11]. Fusing these concepts into a coherent architecture allows system designers to analyze end-to-end latency distributions, evaluate throughput under peak loads, and guarantee certain levels of reliability, a requirement that becomes paramount in safety-critical applications such as collision avoidance or advanced driver-assistance systems.

In the subsequent sections, we delve into the core elements of V2X communication protocols, dissecting their structures from both theoretical and application-driven standpoints. We then connect these protocols to the requirements of big data throughput for autonomous fleets, highlighting technical enablers that facilitate massive data processing in distributed environments [12]. Mathematical formulations are presented to emphasize the role of linear algebra and advanced optimization techniques in shaping communication strategies and data handling workflows. Finally, we address current implementation challenges, potential security vulnerabilities, and future directions that could further strengthen the capacity, reliability, and scalability of autonomous mobility ecosystems [13]. The convergent nature of V2X research, bridging wireless communications and large-scale data analytics, remains central to the future success of self-driving vehicles in real-world deployments.

V2X Communication Protocols and Their Fundamental Properties

The term V2X serves as an overarching label that encompasses vehicle-to-vehicle, vehicle-to-infrastructure, vehicle-to-pedestrian, and vehicle-to-cloud communication. Each modality retains unique requirements and constraints related to latency, bandwidth, security, and quality of service [14]. A widely studied technology in this realm is Dedicated Short-Range Communications, which operates in the 5.9 GHz band. DSRC offers relatively low latency and is specialized for safety applications, but suffers from bandwidth limitations in high-density traffic scenarios [15]. The more recent development of Cellular V2X capitalizes on the existing infrastructure of mobile broadband networks. It brings promising improvements in coverage and reliability, leveraging both direct communications (sidelink) and network-assisted communications managed by base stations.

A crucial principle in V2X protocol design is how physical-layer parameters address mobility [16]. Vehicles can travel at high speeds, causing rapid changes in channel conditions and Doppler shifts. Modern solutions such as 5G NR incorporate scalable numerology, dynamic subcarrier spacing, and advanced channel coding approaches that adapt to changing conditions. This results in improved link reliability and the ability to support low-latency transmissions essential for collision avoidance or path planning [17]. Such adaptability is exemplified by the use of low-density parity check codes and polar codes for channel coding, enabling efficient error correction in noisy or fading channels. With high mobility, especially at freeway speeds, the channel coherence time becomes short, adding further stress on pilot design and channel state estimation [18]. Protocol designers therefore must account for these rapid variations when specifying resource block allocations or scheduling intervals.

Simultaneously, the MAC layer handles resource allocation for users sharing the wireless medium. In dense urban environments with large numbers of connected vehicles, collisions and congestion can significantly degrade throughput if not properly managed [19]. Protocol designers can mitigate these issues using scheduling algorithms that adapt to traffic load, channel quality, and priority classes. For example, vehicles might broadcast periodic cooperative awareness messages that require high reliability and strict deadlines, while large sensor data uploads could be scheduled opportunistically [20].

A possible modeling approach is to let each vehicle have a queue of packets of varying priorities, and a centralized or distributed scheduler allocates time-frequency resources based on utility maximization. In linear algebra terms, one might define a resource allocation matrix $\mathbf{A}$, where A_{ij} represents the fraction of subcarriers assigned to vehicle i in time slot j. A feasible scheduling policy ensures that the sum of allocations per resource block does not exceed one, i.e.,

$$\sum_i A_{ij} \leq 1 \quad \forall j,$$

while optimizing an objective such as minimizing the maximum latency or maximizing total throughput [21]. This approach can be extended to multi-cell or multi-access edge scenarios, with additional constraints to avoid inter-cell interference.

Another property central to V2X performance is multi-antenna processing [22]. MIMO techniques, widely employed in modern communication systems, allow simultaneous transmission of multiple data streams and can exploit spatial diversity to mitigate fading. The signal model can be represented by H x = y, where H is an n-by-m channel matrix, x is an m-by-1 vector of transmitted signals, and y is an n-by-1 vector of received signals. By carefully designing x or by performing beamforming, the effective channel capacity can be significantly increased [23]. Spatial multiplexing strategies can further boost throughput, and diversity gains can reduce error rates. In fast-changing vehicular environments, however, accurate channel state information can be difficult to maintain. Methods such as pilot-assisted channel estimation or blind estimation leverage linear transformations and dimensionality reduction to track channel variations in real time [24]. Other advanced approaches include compressive sensing-based estimation, which may handle wideband signals more efficiently in high mobility conditions.

V2X communication protocols also implement a variety of data link and network-layer functionalities to ensure end-to-end connectivity [25]. Routing of packets in a vehicular network often involves dynamic topologies, where nodes can enter and leave coverage areas rapidly. Even if the physical and MAC layers are well-designed, suboptimal routing at the network layer can lead to congestion, packet losses, or unreliable connectivity. Some proposals adapt well-known routing schemes, such as geographic-based protocols, for vehicular contexts, while others rely on machine learning-driven predictions of traffic flow and link quality [26]. In all cases, a robust synergy among the different layers enables high throughput even under heavy loads, thus establishing the foundation for big data-driven analytics in autonomous fleets. The design of these protocols frequently considers backward compatibility with legacy systems, ensuring incremental adoption [27]. Additional complexities arise when vehicles travel between different regulatory jurisdictions that employ varying frequency bands or safety mandates. Protocols that can dynamically switch frequencies or incorporate multi-RAT (Radio Access Technologies) can better accommodate these transitions.

Big Data Throughput Considerations in Autonomous Fleets

Autonomous fleets rely on real-time analysis of enormous volumes of sensory data [28]. This high data volume, combined with the need for rapid sharing of insights among vehicles and infrastructure, necessitates a communication architecture that can flexibly adjust resources to instantaneous demand. Data transmitted in these networks can be categorized as either safety-critical or non-safety-critical [29]. Safety-critical data includes immediate alerts for collision avoidance or emergency braking, whereas non-safety-critical data spans tasks such as bulk sensor offloading, high-definition map updates, traffic pattern analysis, and system diagnostics. Managing both data categories in a single network requires efficient Quality of Service mechanisms and dynamic resource orchestration. For instance, a single vehicle engaged in heavy sensor streaming might briefly saturate a local cell sector, causing other vehicles' safety messages to be delayed unless the network enforces priority-based scheduling. [30]

From the standpoint of big data processing, throughput is not merely a function of peak downlink or uplink rates; it also depends on round-trip latency, reliability, packet loss rates, and the availability of scalable storage and computing resources along the communication path. Distributed frameworks such as Apache Spark and edge computing deployments can process data in a geographically proximate fashion to reduce latency. This model relies on effective V2X communication for continuous data ingestion and feedback generation [31]. Because multiple vehicles might upload large sensor files nearly simultaneously, the system must ensure that total throughput remains sufficiently high to prevent backlog accumulation in the network buffers. In many urban environments, this requirement becomes more challenging due to interference from buildings or the presence of numerous other network users who share the same spectrum. [32]

One way to characterize throughput performance is via the Shannon capacity formula,

$$C = B \log_2(1 + \mathrm{SNR}),$$

where B is the channel bandwidth and SNR is the signal-to-noise ratio. In a multi-channel, multi-antenna scenario, the aggregate capacity might be analyzed by summing over the eigenvalues of the channel covariance matrix. Let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^H$, where $\mathbf{H}$ is the channel matrix and $\mathbf{H}^H$ is its conjugate transpose. The throughput can be approximated by [33]

$$\sum_i \log_2(1 + \lambda_i),$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{R}$, scaled by the transmit power and noise variance. When multiple vehicles and base stations collaborate, one can assemble block diagonal matrices that represent channels across different links and then use advanced resource scheduling schemes to maximize overall throughput [34]. This provides a linear algebraic framework for quantifying how channel conditions evolve and how total data capacity can scale. Nonetheless, dynamic variations in vehicle locations cause some links to degrade quickly while others improve, necessitating an online or adaptive solution rather than a static one.

While physical-layer optimizations can push channel capacity toward theoretical limits, constraints at higher layers often limit practical throughput [35]. For instance, dynamic network configurations, variable channel quality, and overhead from control signaling can reduce the effective data rate. The presence of vehicular mobility introduces additional uncertainties, requiring robust protocols that adapt in real time [36]. Meanwhile, big data applications impose heavy demands on packet handling, requiring flow control, congestion control, and buffering strategies that minimize latency. To address these complexities, system architects often rely on queueing theory models, fluid flow approximations, or Markov Decision Processes to evaluate different operational states of the network. Through these models, one might derive performance metrics such as average packet delay, jitter, or throughput distribution across multiple user classes, leading to refined scheduling policies. [37]

Efficient data handling is also contingent on edge computing capabilities that partition massive datasets into smaller chunks for parallel processing. Suppose M vehicles simultaneously transmit data to an edge node that possesses a cluster of computing resources. Let D denote the size of the data each vehicle uploads [38]. The total data volume is $M \times D$, and one might define T as the total processing time within the edge cluster, governed by

$$T = \max_k T_k, [39]$$

where T_k is the time required for the k-th job to complete. This T_k can be further broken down into communication latency, queuing delay at the edge node, and computation time on the assigned processor core. In an ideal scenario, the network layer will orchestrate the scheduling of transmissions such that the communication overhead is minimized and the computing tasks are efficiently distributed among the available cores [40]. This orchestration could rely on linear programming to formulate the minimal feasible completion time for all tasks under constraints that reflect the limited channel resources.

As the number of autonomous vehicles grows, the big data dimension intensifies, prompting the need for scalable solutions that incorporate machine learning or optimization algorithms to predict network conditions and vehicle trajectories [41]. For example, a deep reinforcement learning agent can analyze historical data on channel conditions to propose a resource allocation scheme that maximizes overall throughput while guaranteeing fairness across vehicles. The underlying optimization might involve updating a weight matrix W in each time slot, aiming to minimize a cost function that penalizes low throughput or high latency. The resultant matrix multiplications can be viewed as transformations on the high-dimensional state space of a vehicular environment, capturing the interrelationship between channel qualities, traffic densities, and application demands. [42]

Modeling and Foundations

Rigorous mathematical formulations underpin the design and analysis of V2X protocols for big data handling in autonomous fleets. One major aspect concerns modeling the spatiotemporal topology of vehicles on roadways [43]. The positions and velocities of vehicles can be treated as random variables following distributions derived from empirical traffic data or from fundamental diagram models in traffic flow theory. Markov chains or semi-Markov processes can capture temporal dependencies, reflecting how a vehicle transitions between different traffic states. By integrating channel models into these traffic state models, one obtains a joint representation that describes both mobility patterns and communication opportunities [44]. This joint modeling can incorporate correlations between the speeds of neighboring vehicles or typical rush-hour patterns, providing more accurate estimates of channel occupancy and throughput potential.

Another area of advanced modeling arises in analyzing network capacity under random topologies. When vehicles and roadside units are randomly distributed, the coverage area can be statistically represented using Poisson point processes [45]. The performance metrics, such as the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio at a typical receiver, can then be derived by integrating over the distribution of interferers. In the case of multi-tier networks where macro cells, micro cells, and dedicated roadside units coexist, more complex models incorporate superpositions of Poisson point processes [46]. These analyses yield insights into coverage probability, data rate distribution, and the scaling behavior of throughput as the network becomes denser. Researchers often use tools from stochastic geometry to systematically derive such performance metrics, which guide system-level optimizations.

Linear algebra plays a critical role in formulating these problems [47]. For instance, consider a scenario in which M vehicles attempt to offload data to a cluster of base stations or roadside units. Each station has limited capacity, represented by some column vector $\mathbf{c}$ capturing the maximum allowable throughput on each link. If $\mathbf{x}$ is the vector representing the actual assigned data rates for each vehicle-station pair, one might impose constraints such as $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{c}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is a matrix encoding resource coupling constraints. The feasible region of $\mathbf{x}$ might be a convex polytope, and the system's objective is to choose $\mathbf{x}$ that maximizes the sum of data rates or another relevant utility function. This leads to a convex optimization problem that can be solved by iterative methods such as the projected gradient algorithm or by interior-point methods [48]. Each iteration might involve matrix-vector multiplications that update $\mathbf{x}$ according to local gradient information. In large-scale deployments, the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ can become quite large, and efficient iterative solvers or parallel algorithms may be needed for real-time scheduling decisions.

Additionally, robust beamforming strategies to mitigate interference can be posed as linear algebraic or semidefinite programming problems. Suppose $\mathbf{w}_i$ is the beamforming vector for the i-th transmitter, and $\mathbf{h}_{ij}$ is the channel vector from transmitter i to receiver j. The SINR at receiver j can be expressed as

$$\frac{|\mathbf{h}_{jj}^H \mathbf{w}_j|^2}{\sum_{i \neq j} |\mathbf{h}_{ij}^H \mathbf{w}_i|^2 + \sigma^2}.$$

One might then frame the beamformer design to maximize the sum of $\log(1 + \mathrm{SINR}_j)$ subject to norms or power constraints on $\mathbf{w}_i$. The constraints can be recast as rank-one positive semidefinite matrices in an optimization formulation, and iterative or approximation algorithms can derive near-optimal solutions that significantly enhance throughput in dense vehicular scenarios [49]. This synergy between linear algebra and optimization theory becomes pivotal in harnessing the full potential of multi-antenna systems for real-time vehicular communication, especially when large arrays or distributed antenna systems are deployed.

In big data analytics, linear algebra is also essential in tasks such as principal component analysis for sensor data compression, matrix factorization in collaborative filtering for traffic predictions, and gradient-based machine learning methods for real-time decision-making [50]. The interplay between the communication side and the data analytics side becomes evident when one tries to compress or filter raw sensor streams prior to transmission. Techniques such as singular value decomposition can reduce data dimensionality, while advanced coding schemes then transmit the compressed representations. At the receiving end, the data is reconstructed, ensuring minimal loss of critical features [51]. In high-mobility settings, the decomposition might be recomputed frequently using incremental or streaming PCA methods that update subspace estimates as new data arrives. A trade-off inevitably emerges between the computational overhead of frequent decomposition and the bandwidth savings attained through compression. [52]

When analyzing the stability and capacity region of queue-based systems, mathematicians often turn to Lyapunov drift criteria or fluid-limit models. These frameworks allow one to prove whether a scheduling or routing policy stabilizes the system, ensuring network queues do not grow unbounded as the traffic load increases. The big data dimension introduces additional complexities, as large file transfers and batch analytics tasks can cause bursty traffic patterns [53]. In many cases, results from queueing theory, such as Little's Law or Kingman's formula for queue length approximations, can guide system-level parameter choices. By ensuring that the load remains below critical thresholds and by balancing the data flows among multiple access points, one can maintain stable, high-throughput operations. Furthermore, advanced decomposition approaches can allow multiple traffic classes to be handled in parallel, with different constraints on delay and reliability. [54]

Security Challenges and Solutions in V2X Big Data Processing

The integration of high-throughput V2X protocols into autonomous fleets also raises significant security concerns. Because vehicles exchange massive volumes of data, adversaries may attempt to exploit protocol vulnerabilities to gain unauthorized access to real-time sensor feeds or even manipulate control signals [55]. Denial-of-service attacks can overwhelm communication channels, leading to congested networks that disrupt normal data flows. The potential ramifications of such disruptions in an autonomous fleet environment are considerable, as vehicles might be unable to receive critical safety messages that coordinate braking or lane-changing maneuvers.

Securing V2X communications thus requires a multipronged strategy that spans cryptography, authentication, and intrusion detection systems [56]. At the physical layer, spread spectrum techniques or frequency hopping can reduce the susceptibility to jamming attacks. However, more advanced attacks might target higher layers, forging messages to mislead a vehicle's perception of nearby hazards [57]. Because big data systems aggregate and process enormous volumes of information in edge or cloud servers, any compromise of these infrastructures can result in a breach of sensitive vehicle or user data. Mutual authentication protocols are therefore essential, ensuring that vehicles validate the identity of each message originator before processing the contents. This process relies on cryptographic certificates and key exchange protocols, which must be optimized to function within stringent latency bounds. [58]

Public key infrastructures form a cornerstone of vehicular security solutions, supporting digital certificates that attest to the legitimacy of messages. Certificate revocation lists and short-lived certificates can mitigate the risk of compromised keys [59]. In practice, the cryptographic overhead of these solutions should be carefully evaluated against real-time latency constraints. Sophisticated hardware accelerators are often employed to execute cryptographic operations efficiently, without imposing unacceptable delays on the exchange of safety-critical data. For large-scale big data analytics, encryption and secure multiparty computation methods protect sensitive information, but must be balanced against the processing overhead [60]. Homomorphic encryption schemes allow computations to be performed on encrypted data, though these methods remain computationally intensive in many real-world settings. Research continues to explore ways to reduce this overhead or develop specialized hardware for efficient homomorphic operations.

Beyond cryptography, intrusion detection systems serve as an additional layer of defense, monitoring network traffic for patterns indicative of malicious behavior [61]. Machine learning-driven IDS can parse large volumes of data, detecting anomalies such as unusual spike patterns in the data flow or the transmission of malformed packets that deviate from standard V2X protocols. In a scenario of distributed edge computing, multiple IDS nodes might share suspicious activity reports with a central authority that correlates them to determine broader-scale attack patterns [62]. Mathematically, these patterns can be represented in high-dimensional feature spaces, where classification or clustering algorithms identify outliers. Techniques such as principal component analysis, kernel methods, or deep neural networks can uncover subtle correlations indicative of stealthy attacks. As adversaries evolve their tactics, IDS solutions must adapt continuously, leveraging fresh data to update detection models. [63]

Privacy considerations also intersect with security in big data analytics for vehicular networks. Vehicles generate continuous streams of location data, often supplemented by personal information about occupants [64]. Protecting location privacy requires protocols that mitigate tracking risks. Pseudonym changes at regular intervals can obfuscate vehicular identities, but these changes must be synchronized to avoid collisions or confusion in safety-related broadcasts. Alternatively, advanced privacy-preserving data aggregation schemes, which rely on cryptographic primitives like secure summation, enable the collection of traffic statistics without revealing detailed per-vehicle trajectories [65]. Designing such schemes for large-scale autonomous fleets demands careful trade-offs between the granularity of shared information and the resulting benefits to traffic management systems.

An added complexity arises when addressing the security of over-the-air updates for autonomous vehicles [66]. Modern vehicles require frequent software patches to maintain operational safety and incorporate new features. A compromised over-the-air update pipeline can distribute malicious firmware, endangering the entire fleet. Safeguarding these updates involves code signing, secure boot mechanisms, and protected key storage on embedded hardware [67]. Distributing large updates efficiently demands both high throughput V2X links and robust transport-layer encryption. A synergy between security protocols and communication protocols is thus paramount, ensuring that large volumes of data can be efficiently and safely disseminated to autonomous vehicles without introducing vulnerabilities. As update file sizes grow, partially due to expanded machine learning models, robust incremental update mechanisms can reduce bandwidth consumption and distribution time. [68]

Implementation Perspectives and Future Directions

Bringing theoretical models of V2X communication and big data processing into real-world autonomous fleets requires careful consideration of hardware constraints, regulatory frameworks, and deployment topologies. Trials of 5G-based C-V2X and DSRC systems in select urban corridors have demonstrated promising results in achieving low latencies and moderate to high throughputs [69]. However, comprehensive scaling to nationwide or global fleets remains challenging. The heterogeneity in infrastructure—ranging from legacy networks to cutting-edge 5G standalone deployments—complicates interoperability. A transitional path may involve hybrid solutions that combine DSRC for immediate safety messages with cellular-based channels for large data uploads [70]. Such hybrid solutions also offer redundancy, ensuring that if one network becomes congested or fails, vehicles can still communicate critical information through an alternate link.

One salient development is the move toward edge and fog computing platforms [71]. Because raw sensor data can be astronomically large, pushing all of it to a centralized cloud might be neither feasible nor cost-effective. By placing compute resources at the roadside or co-located with base stations, networks can preprocess or summarize data. This distributed approach alleviates backhaul congestion and provides near-instantaneous feedback to vehicles [72]. Implementation demands frameworks that allocate computational tasks dynamically based on resource availability, current network load, and the criticality of the data. These decisions can be governed by linear algebraic optimization models that track resource usage in each fog node and direct data flows accordingly [73]. In some testbeds, micro-datacenters are installed near major intersections or highway on-ramps, receiving data from multiple vehicles, running machine learning inference, and broadcasting aggregated insights back to the local vicinity.

On the hardware side, specialized accelerators such as GPUs, TPUs, or FPGAs can handle the heavy machine learning workloads inherent in autonomous driving. Integrating these accelerators into roadside units can reduce the data exchange overhead by localizing inference tasks [74]. Vehicles might transmit compressed sensor representations rather than full raw streams, decreasing bandwidth usage while maintaining accuracy in object detection or mapping. From the communications perspective, pilot deployments are experimenting with multi-beam millimeter wave transceivers that can provide extremely high data rates over shorter distances. Key challenges in this domain revolve around maintaining line-of-sight connectivity amidst moving obstacles and dynamic beam steering for vehicles traveling at high speed [75]. Beam alignment protocols that respond within milliseconds are being explored, leveraging real-time position information from onboard sensors to predict the best beam directions.

Looking ahead, the advent of 6G networks and satellite-based internet constellations could further enhance coverage for autonomous fleets operating in rural or underserved regions [76]. These future networks promise even higher bandwidths, lower latencies, and seamless global connectivity. On the security and data analytics front, quantum computing could eventually disrupt current cryptographic methods, prompting research into post-quantum cryptography for secure V2X communications. Similarly, quantum machine learning might one day offer exponentially faster training or inference for certain data-driven mobility algorithms, though practical quantum devices remain in their nascent stages [77]. Researchers also anticipate the convergence of sensing, communication, and computing into integrated platforms where the same hardware can perform radar-like sensing of the environment and simultaneously facilitate data transmission.

Another area with substantial promise is the integration of V2X data with smart city infrastructure, combining information from traffic signals, public transport, and utility systems [78]. This integrated data ecosystem could support advanced traffic orchestration, dynamic tolling, and real-time energy management for electric autonomous fleets. Achieving this level of coordination requires standardized communication protocols that facilitate secure data sharing across multiple domains and vendors. Cross-sector collaborations and government-led initiatives often prove necessary for harmonizing regulations and ensuring that privacy and security standards are met [79]. Such collaborative efforts can also spur innovation in shared mobility services, urban planning, and environmental impact monitoring, all of which hinge on real-time, data-driven insights.

From a research standpoint, open problems include refining channel models for highly dynamic vehicular environments, improving reliability in edge-based analytics, and designing adaptive protocols that respond to abrupt changes in network traffic [80]. Stochastic optimization and machine learning remain key enablers, as they can handle high-dimensional data and adapt to real-time variations in channel quality or traffic conditions. Determining the optimal balance between local processing in vehicles and offloading to the edge is an active subject of investigation, one that requires interdisciplinary expertise spanning communications theory, distributed systems, and artificial intelligence. In parallel, cost and energy efficiency concerns motivate the exploration of low-power hardware solutions and green networking protocols, ensuring that large-scale autonomous fleets can remain economically viable. [81]

The integration of high-throughput V2X systems into everyday transportation may also spark new commercial opportunities and business models. For instance, the data generated by autonomous vehicles can inform road maintenance schedules, track local environmental conditions, and support location-based services. In parallel, the same data requires robust privacy protections [82]. Autonomous vehicle manufacturers, telecom operators, and cloud service providers will need to collaborate on setting data handling and governance frameworks. Establishing these partnerships can pave the way toward widespread adoption, demonstrating tangible benefits in safety, efficiency, and user experience [83]. As consumer trust builds in connected and automated mobility, the willingness to share data may increase, further fueling innovation in real-time traffic management, ride-sharing applications, and predictive maintenance services. Ultimately, the interplay of policy, technology, and economics will determine how quickly these advanced V2X solutions mature from pilot projects to industry-standard practices.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have examined the role of V2X communication protocols in supporting the enormous data demands of autonomous vehicle fleets [84]. Our discussions centered on the interplay between physical-layer enhancements, MAC-level resource scheduling, and network-layer optimizations that collectively enable high-throughput data exchanges. Mathematical modeling and linear algebraic formulations were highlighted as powerful tools for analyzing channel capacity, designing beamforming solutions, and implementing data-driven allocation strategies [85]. As sensor-based intelligence grows more sophisticated, autonomous vehicles are poised to generate and utilize unprecedented amounts of data, necessitating that networks can reliably handle these elevated throughputs without sacrificing latency or safety requirements.

Moving beyond raw throughput, the increasing reliance on edge computing underscores the importance of strategically distributing data processing tasks. By considering network topologies, resource constraints, and performance objectives, it becomes possible to alleviate bottlenecks and elevate the overall efficiency of autonomous fleet operations [86]. Nevertheless, such improvements require tight integration among automotive industries, telecommunication providers, and government agencies. Security remains an ever-present concern, and the integrity of the data pipeline must be preserved through cryptographic safeguards and intrusion detection systems that can thwart both external and insider threats [87]. As protocols continue to evolve, robust support for incremental updates, advanced encryption methods, and real-time intrusion detection will constitute essential pillars of secure, scalable deployments.

Future directions point to advanced cellular generations, quantum-safe cryptography, and tighter integration with smart city initiatives, all of which promise to reshape how vehicles, infrastructure, and global cloud services collaborate. The continued expansion of satellite-based networks, combined with breakthroughs in machine learning for real-time data analytics, will further broaden the horizons of V2X applications [88]. It is evident that the synergy of robust V2X protocols and big data processing holds the key to unlocking safer, more efficient, and truly intelligent transportation systems. These systems will not only enhance travel convenience but also open novel opportunities for shared mobility, environmental monitoring, and adaptive urban design. As research progresses, the collaborative efforts of academia, industry, and public agencies will ensure that the visions for connected and autonomous mobility can be realized on a global scale, transforming how society moves and interacts in the coming decades. [89]

Conflict of interest
Authors state no conflict of interest.

References

[1] S. L. Goldenberg, G. Nir, and S. E. Salcudean, "A new era: Artificial intelligence and machine learning in prostate cancer.," *Nature reviews. Urology*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 391–403, May 15, 2019. DOI: 10.1038/s41585-019-0193-3.

[2] V. Sampath, I. Maurtua, J. J. A. Martín, and A. Gutierrez, "A survey on generative adversarial networks for imbalance problems in computer vision tasks," *Journal of big data*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 27–27, Jan. 29, 2021. DOI: 10.1186/s40537-021-00414-0.

[3] T. Everitt, M. Hutter, R. Kumar, and V. Krakovna, "Reward tampering problems and solutions in reinforcement learning: A causal influence diagram perspective," *Synthese*, vol. 198, no. 27, pp. 6435–6467, May 19, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s11229-021-03141-4.

[4] M. Muniswamaiah, T. Agerwala, and C. Tappert, "Data virtualization for analytics and business intelligence in big data," in *CS & IT Conference Proceedings*, CS & IT Conference Proceedings, vol. 9, 2019.

[5] K. E. Karches, "Against the idoctor: Why artificial intelligence should not replace physician judgment.," *Theoretical medicine and bioethics*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 91–110, Jul. 10, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/s11017-018-9442-3.

[6] B. Gao, K. Wan, Q. Chen, *et al.*, "A review and outlook on predictive cruise control of vehicles and typical applications under cloud control system," *Machine Intelligence Research*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 614–639, Mar. 9, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s11633-022-1395-3.

[7] C. R. Steffens, L. R. V. Messias, P. J. L. Drews-Jr, and S. S. da Costa Botelho, "On robustness of robotic and autonomous systems perception," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 101, no. 3, pp. 1–17, Mar. 3, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s10846-021-01334-0.

[8] F. Liu, S. Jiang, J. Kang, *et al.*, "On the definition of noise.," *Humanities & social sciences communications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 406–, Nov. 8, 2022. DOI: 10.1057/s41599-022-01431-x.

[9] C. Nie, M. A. Qadar, S. Zhou, *et al.*, "Transnational image object detection datasets from nighttime driving," *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 1123–1131, Aug. 7, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11760-022-02319-8.

[10] V. G. Filippov, Y. A. Mikhailov, and A. V. Elyshev, "Machine learning and big data analysis in the field of catalysis (a review)," *Kinetics and Catalysis*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 122–134, Jun. 16, 2023. DOI: 10.1134/s0023158423020027.

[11] E. M. K. de Silva, P. Kumarasinghe, K. K. D. A. K. Indrajith, *et al.*, "Feasibility of using convolutional neural networks for individual-identification of wild asian elephants," *Mammalian Biology*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 931–941, Jan. 21, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s42991-021-00206-2.

[12] N. A. M. Razali, N. Shamsaimon, K. K. Ishak, S. Ramli, M. F. M. Amran, and S. Sukardi, "Gap, techniques and evaluation: Traffic flow prediction using machine learning and deep learning," *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 8, no. 1, Dec. 4, 2021. DOI: 10.1186/s40537-021-00542-7.

[13] H. Li, Z. Wang, X. Yue, W. Wang, H. Tomiyama, and L. Meng, "An architecture-level analysis on deep learning models for low-impact computations," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 1971–2010, Jun. 26, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10462-022-10221-5.

[14] V. Koolwal and K. K. Mohbey, "A comprehensive survey on trajectory-based location prediction," *Iran Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 65–91, Jan. 10, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s42044-019-00052-z.

[15] V. Charles, A. Emrouznejad, and T. Gherman, "A critical analysis of the integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence for supply chain.," *Annals of operations research*, vol. 327, no. 1, pp. 1–47, Jan. 25, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10479-023-05169-w.

[16] M. Zhang, J. Sun, and J. Wang, "Which neural network makes more explainable decisions? an approach towards measuring explainability," *Automated Software Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 2, Apr. 9, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10515-022-00338-w.

[17] M. Kuzlu, C. Fair, and O. Guler, "Role of artificial intelligence in the internet of things (iot) cybersecurity," *Discover Internet of Things*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Feb. 24, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s43926-020-00001-4.

[18] M. G. H. Nampoothiri, B. Vinayakumar, Y. Sunny, and R. Antony, "Recent developments in terrain identification, classification, parameter estimation for the navigation of autonomous robots," *SN Applied Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1–14, Mar. 20, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s42452-021-04453-3.

[19] S. Balakrishna and A. A. Mustapha, "Progress in multi-object detection models: A comprehensive survey," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 82, no. 15, pp. 22 405–22 439, Nov. 10, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11042-022-14131-0.

[20] M. Tammvee and G. Anbarjafari, "Human activity recognition-based path planning for autonomous vehicles," *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 809–816, Oct. 16, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11760-020-01800-6.

[21] J. Hecht, "Managing expectations of artificial intelligence.," *Nature*, vol. 563, no. 7733, S141–S143, Nov. 28, 2018. DOI: 10.1038/d41586-018-07504-9.

[22] B. Mahaur, N. Singh, and K. K. Mishra, "Road object detection: A comparative study of deep learning-based algorithms," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 81, no. 10, pp. 14 247–14 282, Feb. 25, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11042-022-12447-5.

[23] S. S. Chanda and D. N. Banerjee, "Omission and commission errors underlying ai failures.," *AI & society*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 1–960, Nov. 17, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00146-022-01585-x.

[24] E. L. Faria, J. M. Coelho, T. F. Matos, *et al.*, "Lithology identification in carbonate thin section images of the brazilian pre-salt reservoirs by the computational vision and deep learning," *Computational Geosciences*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1537–1547, Oct. 5, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10596-022-10168-0.

[25] V. Riccio, G. Jahangirova, A. Stocco, N. Humbatova, M. Weiss, and P. Tonella, "Testing machine learning based systems: A systematic mapping," *Empirical Software Engineering*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 5193–5254, Sep. 15, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s10664-020-09881-0.

[26] D. Heaven, "Why deep-learning ais are so easy to fool," *Nature*, vol. 574, no. 7777, pp. 163–166, Oct. 9, 2019. DOI: 10.1038/d41586-019-03013-5.

[27] M.-H. Huang and R. T. Rust, "A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 30–50, Nov. 4, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11747-020-00749-9.

[28] M. Muniswamaiah, T. Agerwala, and C. C. Tappert, "Approximate query processing for big data in heterogeneous databases," in *2020 IEEE international conference on big data (big data)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 5765–5767.

[29] "Artificial intelligence and machine learning solutions for attracting investment and financial aid in the digital economy," *Journal of Economic Research & Reviews*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 291–297, Oct. 23, 2023. DOI: 10.33140/jerr.03.04.02.

[30] M. Wen, R. Lin, H. Wang, *et al.*, "Large sequence models for sequential decision-making: A survey," *Frontiers of Computer Science*, vol. 17, no. 6, Aug. 5, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s11704-023-2689-5.

[31] S. Kavaiya, "Learn with curiosity: A hybrid reinforcement learning approach for resource allocation for 6g enabled connected cars," *Mobile Networks and Applications*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 1176–1186, Jun. 12, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s11036-023-02126-6.

[32] S. Mills and H. S. Sætra, "The autonomous choice architect," *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 583–595, Jun. 22, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00146-022-01486-z.

[33] H. Xu, Y. Zhao, F. Lin, W. Pi, and S. Feng, "Integrated optimization design of electric power steering and suspension systems based on hierarchical coordination optimization method," *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, vol. 65, no. 2, Jan. 24, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00158-021-03165-x.

[34] M. Muniswamaiah, T. Agerwala, and C. C. Tappert, "Integrating polystore rdbms with common in-memory data," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 5762–5764.

[35] A. Morales-Forero, S. Bassetto, and E. Coatanea, "Toward safe ai," *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 685–696, Nov. 23, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00146-022-01591-z.

[36] Y. Wang, N. Zhao, X. Liu, S. Karaburun, M. Chen, and T. Zhu, "Identifying big five personality traits through controller area network bus data," *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, vol. 2020, pp. 1–10, Oct. 19, 2020. DOI: 10.1155/2020/8866876.

[37] J. Wang and Q. Chen, "A traffic prediction model based on multiple factors," *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 2928–2960, Jul. 7, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11227-020-03373-0.

[38] M. A. Talib, S. Majzoub, Q. Nasir, and D. Jamal, "A systematic literature review on hardware implementation of artificial intelligence algorithms," *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 1897–1938, May 28, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11227-020-03325-8.

[39] A. Manthiou, P. Klaus, V. G. Kuppelwieser, and W. Reeves, "Man vs machine: Examining the three themes of service robotics in tourism and hospitality," *Electronic Markets*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 511–527, Aug. 10, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s12525-020-00434-3.

[40] M. Abouelyazid, "Comparative evaluation of vgg-16 and u-net architectures for road segmentation," *Eigenpub Review of Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 75–91, 2022.

[41] M. Q. Alsudani, M. M. Jaber, M. H. Ali, *et al.*, "Retracted article: Smart logistics with iot-based enterprise management system using global manufacturing," *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, vol. 45, no. 2, Jan. 19, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10878-022-00977-5.

[42] R. Batra, L. Song, and R. Ramprasad, "Emerging materials intelligence ecosystems propelled by machine learning," *Nature Reviews Materials*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 655–678, Nov. 9, 2020. DOI: 10.1038/s41578-020-00255-y.

[43] M. Abouelyazid, "Comparative evaluation of sort, deepsort, and bytetrack for multiple object tracking in highway videos," *International Journal of Sustainable Infrastructure for Cities and Societies*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 42–52, 2023.

[44] J. Yang, K. Yuan, S. Chen, *et al.*, "A new multinetwork mean distillation loss function for open-world domain incremental object detection," *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 2023, no. 1, pp. 1–21, Nov. 6, 2023. DOI: 10.1155/2023/3044155.

[45] L. Kunze and A. Sloman, "A philosophically motivated view on ai and robotics: Interview with aaron sloman, honorary professor of artificial intelligence and cognitive science, university of birmingham, united kingdom," *KI - Künstliche Intelligenz*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 429–445, Sep. 11, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s13218-019-00621-1.

[46] K. Shah, S. Chadotra, S. Tanwar, R. Gupta, and N. Kumar, "Blockchain for iov in 6g environment: Review solutions and challenges," *Cluster Computing*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1927–1955, Jan. 27, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10586-021-03492-0.

[47] Y. Tang, C. Zhang, Q. Cheng, Z. Li, and L. Qian, "Fast semantic segmentation network with attention gate and multi-layer fusion," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 81, no. 15, pp. 21 547–21 562, Mar. 15, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11042-022-12519-6.

[48] N. E. Nwogbaga, R. Latip, L. S. Affendey, and A. R. A. Rahiman, "Investigation into the effect of data reduction in offloadable task for distributed iot-fog-cloud computing," *Journal of Cloud Computing*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Jul. 20, 2021. DOI: 10.1186/s13677-021-00254-6.

[49] S. Chatterjee, R. Chaudhuri, D. Vrontis, and T. Papadopoulos, "Examining the impact of deep learning technology capability on manufacturing firms: Moderating roles of technology turbulence and top management support.," *Annals of operations research*, vol. 339, no. 1-2, pp. 1–183, Jan. 30, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10479-021-04505-2.

[50] J. Zhang, J. Yuan, H. Guo, and X. Zan, "Integrating deep learning with first order logic for solving kinematic problems," *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 11 808–11 826, Jan. 29, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10489-021-03044-4.

[51] G. A. Taarnhøj, C. Johansen, H. Lindberg, A. Carus, R. H. Dahlrot, and H. Pappot, "Active use of patient-reported outcomes during chemo-or immunotherapy for bladder cancer-preliminary data from a national randomized trial," *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation*, vol. 29, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–196, Oct. 17, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11136-020-02626-y.

[52] K. Rajwar, K. Deep, and S. Das, "An exhaustive review of the metaheuristic algorithms for search and optimization: Taxonomy, applications, and open challenges.," *Artificial intelligence review*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 1–13 257, Apr. 9, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10462-023-10470-y.

[53] D. V. Bui, M. Kubo, and H. Sato, "Attention-based neural network with generalized mean pooling for cross-view geo-localization between uav and satellite," *Artificial Life and Robotics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 560–570, Apr. 15, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10015-023-00867-x.

[54] D. Hopp, "Benchmarking econometric and machine learning methodologies in nowcasting gdp," *Empirical Economics*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 2191–2247, Nov. 4, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s00181-023-02515-6.

[55] M. Muniswamaiah, T. Agerwala, and C. C. Tappert, "Federated query processing for big data in data science," in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 6145–6147.

[56] J. Sorensen, R. Nikam, and A. K. Choudhary, "Artificial intelligence in child abuse imaging.," *Pediatric radiology*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1061–1064, Apr. 27, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00247-021-05073-0.

[57] B. Meskó and M. Görög, "A short guide for medical professionals in the era of artificial intelligence.," *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Sep. 24, 2020. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-020-00333-z.

[58] J. Ghosh, A. K. Talukdar, and K. K. Sarma, "A light-weight natural scene text detection and recognition system," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 6651–6683, Jun. 13, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s11042-023-15696-0.

[59] E. Fosch-Villaronga, S. van der Hof, C. Lutz, and A. Tamò-Larrieux, "Toy story or children story? putting children and their rights at the forefront of the artificial intelligence revolution.," *AI & society*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1–20, Oct. 6, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00146-021-01295-w.

[60] L. H. M. Dankelman, S. Schilstra, F. F. A. IJpma, *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence fracture recognition on computed tomography: Review of literature and recommendations.," *European journal of trauma and emergency surgery : official publication of the European Trauma Society*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 681–691, Oct. 26, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00068-022-02128-1.

[61] M. D. Benedetto, F. Carrara, E. Meloni, G. Amato, F. Falchi, and C. Gennaro, "Learning accurate personal protective equipment detection from virtual worlds," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 80, no. 15, pp. 23 241–23 253, Aug. 25, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11042-020-09597-9.

[62] J. Chen, S. Wang, E. He, H. Wang, and L. Wang, "The architecture of functional brain network modulated by driving during adverse weather conditions.," *Cognitive neurodynamics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 547–553, Jun. 28, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11571-022-09825-y.

[63] S. Dhakal, D. Qu, D. Carrillo, Q. Yang, and S. Fu, "Oasd: An open approach to self-driving vehicle," in *2021 Fourth International Conference on Connected and Autonomous Driving (MetroCAD)*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 54–61.

[64] H. Wang, N. Liu, Y. Zhang, *et al.*, "Deep reinforcement learning: A survey," *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1726–1744, Oct. 15, 2020. DOI: 10.1631/fitee.1900533.

[65] Z. Batmaz, A. Yürekli, A. Bilge, and C. Kaleli, "A review on deep learning for recommender systems: Challenges and remedies," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 1–37, Aug. 29, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/s10462-018-9654-y.

[66] G. Quattrone, N. Kusek, and L. Capra, "A global-scale analysis of the sharing economy model - an airbnb case study.," *EPJ data science*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 36–, Jun. 29, 2022. DOI: 10.1140/epjds/s13688-022-00349-3.

[67] B. Nagy, D. L. Galata, A. Farkas, and Z. K. Nagy, "Application of artificial neural networks in the process analytical technology of pharmaceutical manufacturing-a review.," *The AAPS journal*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 74–, Jun. 14, 2022. DOI: 10.1208/s12248-022-00706-0.

[68] S. Dhakal, Q. Chen, D. Qu, D. Carillo, Q. Yang, and S. Fu, "Sniffer faster r-cnn: A joint camera-lidar object detection framework with proposal refinement," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Mobility, Operations, Services and Technologies (MOST)*, IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–10.

[69] F. Peng and L. Zheng, "Fuzzy rule-based neural network for high-speed train manufacturing system scheduling problem," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 2077–2088, Apr. 3, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00521-022-07190-9.

[70] H. Hu, "An educational arduino robot for visual deep learning experiments," *International Journal of Intelligent Robotics and Applications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 73–81, Nov. 7, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s41315-019-00114-2.

[71] K. S. Gill, "Moving the ai needle: From chaos to engagement," *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 1–4, Jan. 18, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s00146-023-01627-y.

[72] N. K. Kedia, A. Kumar, and Y. Singh, "Prediction of underground metro train-induced ground vibration using hybrid pso-ann approach," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 8171–8195, Dec. 13, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00521-022-08093-5.

[73] Y. A. Nanehkaran, D. Zhang, S. Salimi, J. Chen, Y. Tian, and N. Al-Nabhan, "Analysis and comparison of machine learning classifiers and deep neural networks techniques for recognition of farsi handwritten digits," *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 3193–3222, Jul. 28, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11227-020-03388-7.

[74] A. A. Cervera-Uribe and P. E. Méndez-Monroy, "U19-net: A deep learning approach for obstacle detection in self-driving cars," *Soft Computing*, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 5195–5207, Apr. 7, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s00500-022-06980-6.

[75] C.-M. Hsu, M. Z. Azhari, H.-Y. Hsieh, S. W. Prakosa, and J.-S. Leu, "Robust network intrusion detection scheme using long-short term memory based convolutional neural networks," *Mobile Networks and Applications*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1137–1144, Jul. 21, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s11036-020-01623-2.

[76] E. Ahishakiye, W. Mwangi, P. Muriithi, *et al.*, "Deep gaussian convolutional neural network model in classification of cassava diseases using spectral data," *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 463–485, Jun. 25, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s11227-023-05498-4.

[77] G. Serin, B. Sener, A. M. Ozbayoglu, and H. O. Unver, "Review of tool condition monitoring in machining and opportunities for deep learning," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 953–974, Jul. 10, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s00170-020-05449-w.

[78] S. Chourasia, S. M. Pandey, Q. Murtaza, S. Agrawal, and K. Gupta, "Redefining industry 5.0 in ophthalmology and digital metrology: A global perspective," *MAPAN*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 527–545, Apr. 20, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s12647-023-00633-1.

[79] S. W. Jun, A. A. Sekh, C. Quek, and D. K. Prasad, "Semlp: Self-evolving multi-layer perceptron in stock trading decision making," *SN Computer Science*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–11, Feb. 24, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s42979-021-00524-9.

[80] S. Opiyo, J. Zhou, E. Mwangi, W. Kai, and I. Sunusi, "A review on teleoperation of mobile ground robots: Architecture and situation awareness," *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1384–1407, Oct. 21, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s12555-019-0999-z.

[81] M. Giovannini, F. Forno, and N. Magnani, "Practicing sustainable eating: Zooming in a civic food network," *Agriculture and Human Values*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 921–933, Dec. 15, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10460-023-10526-3.

[82] K. Sohn and G. Jang, "Ground vehicle driving by full sized humanoid," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 407–425, Dec. 27, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s10846-019-01130-x.

[83] Y. Dong, Z. Yu, X. Chen, *et al.*, "Global redundancy optimization of manipulability for 7-dofs anthropomorphic manipulator using joint monotonicity," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 109, no. 1, Aug. 26, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10846-023-01939-7.

[84] Y. Wang, X. Wei, L. Ding, X. Tang, and H. Zhang, "A robust visual tracking method via local feature extraction and saliency detection," *The Visual Computer*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 683–700, Apr. 9, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s00371-019-01646-1.

[85] L. Bi and G. Hu, "A genetic algorithm-assisted deep learning approach for crop yield prediction," *Soft Computing*, vol. 25, no. 16, pp. 10 617–10 628, Jul. 8, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00500-021-05995-9.

[86] A. Saxena, "Advancing location privacy in urban networks: A hybrid approach leveraging federated learning and geospatial semantics," *Int. J. Inf. Cybersecur*, vol. 7, pp. 58–72, 2023.

[87] A. Darwish, A. E. Hassanien, and S. Das, "A survey of swarm and evolutionary computing approaches for deep learning," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1767–1812, Jun. 13, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/s10462-019-09719-2.

[88] W. M. Mannering and M. N. Jones, "Catastrophic interference in predictive neural network models of distributional semantics," *Computational Brain & Behavior*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 18–33, Aug. 11, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s42113-020-00089-5.

[89] H. Blum, P.-E. Sarlin, J. Nieto, R. Siegwart, and C. Cadena, "The fishyscapes benchmark: Measuring blind spots in semantic segmentation," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 129, no. 11, pp. 3119–3135, Sep. 14, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s11263-021-01511-6.